

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/767,431	01/22/2001	Charles L. Jones	. US20000181	9566
WHIRLPOOL PATENTS COMPANY - MD 0750 500 RENAISSANCE DRIVE - SUITE 102			EXAMINER	
			VIG, NARESH	
ST. JOSEPH, N	AII 49085		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3629	
		•		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/13/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	_
09/767,431	JONES, CHARLES L.	
Examiner	Art Unit	_
Naresh Vig	3629	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 17 January 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3 a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:	
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN	in
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) a set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed.	as
may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	
NOTICE OF AFFEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date o	£
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).	
AMENDMENTS	
3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).	
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	е
7. Sor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-22</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d
2. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).	
10. 🗌 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.	
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:	
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	
Naresh Vig Examiner Art Unit: 3629	
· ·	

Applicant has added new limitations
establishing a product personality for a product series
correlating the product personality with a visual Characteristic of the product series as viewed by a consumer
which would require further consideration and/or new search

In response to applicant's argument that cited references do not teach claimed invention. However, applicant is arguing amended claim. Cited references clearly teach establishing a product personality for a product (e.g. Minivan), correlating the product personality with visual characteristic (user preferences for options), designing the product based on the correlation (Chrysler offering plurality of Trimlines to customers).

In response to applicant's argument that regarding claim 17, cited references do no teach claimed invention. However, applicant is arguing amended claim. As responded to earlier, cited references teach the limitaiton of establishing desired brand personalities for a product line (e.g. Chrylsler, Dodge, Plymouth); mapping customer perception of the brand personality; correlating visual characteristics of the brand personalities to a desired brand (e.g. difference between Dodge, Plymouth and Chrysler product lines); determining visual characteristics of the desired brand (e.g. features of Dodge, Plymouth and Chrysler); designing product appearance in response to the visual characterists of the desired brand.

In response to applicant's argument that Assignee employs more than 80,000 employees and has no knowledge, and, therefore Assignee can only give Applicant's belief that the invention is novel concept developed by the Applicant as a result of his expertise rather than as an improvement of the previously developed concepts. However, assignee of the application Whirlpool designed appliance(s) for Costco more than one year prior to the claimed priority date. This clearly shos that he assignee had been using the invention as claimed by the applicant more that one year prior to the clalimed invention date.